

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,689	01/28/2004	Georg Georgakos	200217401	2062	
75	90 05/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Scott B. Stahl Jackson Walker L.L.P. 2435 N. Central Expressway, Suite 600			HA, NGUYEN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Richardson, TX			2831		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/766,689	GEORGAKOS, GEO	ORG		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nguyen T. Ha	2831			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess		
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended per	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 January 2</u> 004.				
-		)⊠ This action is non-final.		•		
3)	·					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 16-20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the Coath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected in abeyone to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone correction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National St	tage		
Attachmen	• •	•				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0404</u> .	O-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)		

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "comprise" in the abstract line 5 is legal phraseology.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vathulya et al. (US 6,297,524).

Regarding claim 1, Vathulya et al. disclose a storage capacitor (figure 3) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/766,689

Art Unit: 2831

- at least one first electrode (27A) including a stack of metal parts (A and B) spaced at intervals from one another, and contact elements (32) connecting respective pairs of the metal part;

Page 3

- at least one second electrode (27B) including a stack of metal parts spaced at intervals from one another, and contact elements (32) connecting respective pairs of the metal parts, and
- the at least one first electrode and the at least one second electrode
  positioned laterally adjacent one another a cooperable with one another to
  provide a storage capacitance (figure 3).

Regarding claim 2, Vathulya et al. disclose the stack of the at least one first electrode extends substantially parallel to the stack of the at least one second electrode (figure 3).

Regarding claim 3, Vathulya et al. further including a plurality of the second electrodes (27B) positioned laterally adjacent and peripherally around the at least one first electrode (27A, figure 3).

Regarding claim 8, Vathulya et al. further including a plurality of the second electrodes connected together (figure 3).

Regarding claim 9, Vathulya et al. further including a plurality of the first electrodes connected together (figure 3).

Regarding claim 10, Vathulya et al. further including a metal part (A & B) and further contact elements (32) connecting the further metal part to the second electrodes, the further metal part spaced from the at least one first electrode in a longitudinal

Application/Control Number: 10/766,689

Art Unit: 2831

direction of the at least one first electrode by a distance corresponding to a thickness of

the further contact elements (figure 3).

Regarding claim 11, Vathulya et al. disclose the metal parts of the at least one

first electrode (27A) are positioned in respectively corresponding metal layers in which

respectively corresponding metal parts of the at least one second electrode (27B) are

also respectively positioned (figure 3).

Regarding claim 12, Vathulya et al. further including a plurality of the second

electrodes, the metal parts of each of the second electrode positioned in respectively

corresponding metal layers in which respectively corresponding metal parts of the

remaining second electrodes are also respectively positioned, and wherein the metal

parts in at least one of the metal layer are connected together (figure 3).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vathulya et al. (US 6,297,524).

Regarding claims 4-7, Vathulya et al. disclose all the claimed limitations discussed above with respect to claim 3, except for the second electrodes are substantially evenly distributed peripherally around the at least one first electrode, or the

Art Unit: 2831

second electrodes are position on a rectangle that surrounds the at least one first electrode, or the second electrodes are positioned on a hexagon that surrounds the at least one first electrode, or the second electrodes are positioned on a diamond shape that surrounds the at least one first electrode. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the second electrodes are substantially evenly distributed peripherally around the at least one first electrode, or the second electrodes are position on a rectangle that surrounds the at least one first electrode, or the second electrodes are positioned on a hexagon that surrounds the at least one first electrode, or the second electrodes are positioned on a diamond shape that surrounds the at least one first electrode, since the applicant does not solve a particular problem with these shape and more than mere change of form or shape is necessary for patentability. Span-Deck Inc. v. Fab-Con, Inc, (CA 8, 1982) 215 USPQ 835.

7. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richter et al. (US 2002/0191455) in view of Vathulya et al. (US 6,297,524).

Regarding claim 13, Richter et al. disclose a memory cell arrangement (figure 1A) comprising:

a plurality of memory cells (15a, 15b, 15c) for storage of information, each the memory cell including a storage capacitor (20a, 20b, 20c).

## Richter et al. lack:

Art Unit: 2831

each the storage capacitor including at least one first electrode that
 includes a stack of metal parts spaced at intervals from one another, and
 contact elements connecting respective pairs of the metal parts;

- each the storage capacitor including at least one second electrode that includes a stack of metal parts spaced at intervals from one another, and contact elements connecting respective pairs of the metal parts; and
- the at least one first electrode and the at least one second electrode of
  each the storage capacitor positioned laterally adjacent one another and
  cooperable with one another to provide a storage capacitance.

### Vathulya et al. teach:

- the storage capacitor (20) including at least one first electrode (27A) including a stack of metal parts (A and B) spaced at intervals from one another, and contact elements (32) connecting respective pairs of the metal part;
- at least one second electrode (27B) including a stack of metal parts spaced at intervals from one another, and contact elements (32) connecting respective pairs of the metal parts, and
- the at least one first electrode and the at least one second electrode
  positioned laterally adjacent one another a cooperable with one another to
  provide a storage capacitance (figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the capacitor of Vathulya et al. in the Richter et al. memory

Art Unit: 2831

cell arrangement in order to improve high capacitance density for the memory cell arrangement.

Regarding claim 14, Vathulya et al. further including a metal part connecting all of the second electrodes of all of the storage to one another (figure 3).

Regarding claim 15, Vathulya et al. further including a metal part connecting all of the second electrodes of all of the storage capacitors to one another (figure 3).

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 16-20, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of microelectronic circuit comprising: each of a storage capacitor having the at least one first electrode and the at least one second electrode positioned laterally adjacent one another and cooperable with one another to provide a storage capacitance, wherein a selection circuit coupled to the at least one first electrode of the at least one storage capacitor, and the at least one second electrode of the at least one storage capacitor adapted for coupling to a predetermined potential.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2831

#### **Citation Relevant of Prior Art**

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Buynoski (US 6,452,250) discloses stacked integrated circuit and capacitor structure containing via structure.
  - b. Ahiko et al. (US 6,407,907) disclose multi-layer ceramic capacitor.
- c. Lange et al. (US 6,258,656) disclose capacitor with high dielectric or ferroelectric material based on the fin stack principle and production process using a negative mold.
- d. Kotecki et al. (US 6,262,450) disclose DRAM stack capacitor with vias and conductive connection extending from above conductive lines to the substrate.
- e. Akcasu (US 5,208,725) discloses high capacitance structure in a semiconductor device.
- f. Lee et al. (US 5,742,472) disclose stacked capacitors for integrated circuit devices and related methods.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2831

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen T. Ha May 4, 2005